

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/502,143		02/11/2000	Aura Ganz	10359-004001	4808	
26161	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC				HSU, ALPUS		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·				2616	2616	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		γ	
 	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/502,143	GANZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Alpus H. Hsu	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,12,14-17,19-22 and 24-38</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>35-38</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,15,17 and 21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3-8,12,14,16,19,20,22 and 24-34</u> is/a 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. re objected to. r election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, , ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		* *	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati nty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
,			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/502,143 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

1. Claims 1, 15, 17 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,049,549. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because by broadly interpreting the polling sequence in claims 3 and 8 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,049,549 as the claimed polling pattern in claims 1 and 17 of the instant application, it would have been obvious to make the same invention from U.S. Patent No. 6,049,549 into the instant application. Furthermore, by implementing each method step in method claim 3 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,049,549 into an apparatus claim, providing means for performing each method step, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the same invention as in claims 15 and 21 of the instant application.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 3-8, 12, 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 35-38 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,143 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

5. The terminal disclaimer has been **disapproved** for the reason being that the person who signed the T.D. is not attorney "of record".

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571)272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,143

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2616 Page 4